

Teresa Stawiarska, *Roman and early Byzantine glass from Romania and northern Bulgaria. Archaeological and technological study*, Polish Academy of Sciences. Institute of Archaeology and Ethnology, Warsaw, 2014, 151 p., 37 fig.

The bibliography about ancient glass artifacts discovered in Romania and Bulgaria is quite poor. The papers of Mihai Bucovală<sup>1</sup> and Atanas Minčev<sup>2</sup> are the only monographic works on the glassware produced in the Greek cities of the province of Moesia Inferior. Next to them, there are two general articles about ancient glassworks in Bulgaria<sup>3</sup>. For Dacia, there are the papers of Cloșca Băluță<sup>4</sup> and Doina Benea<sup>5</sup>. Recently, there were also published some works which provide an overview of the main types of glass vessels from the early Roman age that were discovered in the area between Olbia and Byzantium<sup>6</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> Bucovală, M., *Vasele antice de sticlă la Tomis*, Constanța, 1968.

<sup>2</sup> Minčev, A., *Antično staklo ot Zapadnoto Černomorie (I–VI v.)*, *Kupi i panicki*, *Izvestija Varna* 20 (35), 1984, p. 5–21; Minčev, A., *Antično staklo ot Zapadnoto Černomorie (I–VI v.)*, *Kani*, *Izvestija Varna* 25 (40), 1989, p. 13–26; Minčev, A., *Antično staklo ot Zapadnoto Černomorie (I–VI v.)*, *Butilki*, *Izvestija Varna* 26 (41), 1990, p. 56–78.

<sup>3</sup> Djingov, G., *Drevnoto staklo i stakloproizvodstvo v Bălgarija*, *Archeologija Sofia* 7, 1965, 4, p. 11–20; Belivanova, A., *Early Roman Glass from Bulgaria (1<sup>st</sup> century-the first half of the 2<sup>nd</sup> century)*, *ArchBulg* 3, 1999, 1, p. 35–49.

<sup>4</sup> e.g. Băluță, C.L. *Considération sur la production et la diffusion du verre dans la Dacie Supérieure*, *AIHV* 7, 1978, p. 97–109.

<sup>5</sup> e.g. Benea, D., *Die römische Perlenwerstätten aus Tibiscum*, Timișoara, 2004.

<sup>6</sup> Chiriac, C., Boțan, S.-P., *Sticlăria elenistică și romană din Pontul Euxin. Între producție și import*, in Panait-Bîrzescu, F., Bîrzescu, I., Matei-Popescu, F., Robu, A. (eds.), *Poleis în Marea Neagră: relații interpontice și producții locale*, București, 2013, p. 278–318; Chiriac, C., Boțan, S.-P., *Roman Glass Vessels in the Western Pontic Area (1st–3rd Centuries CE). General Remarks*, in Cojocaru, V., Coșkun, A., Dana, M. (eds.), *Interconnectivity in the Mediterranean and Pontic World during the Hellenistic and Roman Periods*, Cluj-Napoca, 2014, p. 525–555.

Chemical composition of glass artefacts can reveal important information about the technology and raw materials used for their production. Chronological and geographical differentiations of ancient glass objects are reflected in distinct compositional categories.

Up to now, archaeometric studies of glass artifacts excavated in Romania and Bulgaria were rather scarce<sup>7</sup>.

Therefore, we can safely assume that the recently published study by Teresa Stawiarska fills, to some extent, a gap, with the help of chemical analyses.

The contents of the book is organized as follows: Preface (p. 7) 1. Glass production of Dacia and Lower Moesia in the Roman and Early Byzantine period (p. 9–80); 2. Roman luxury glass vessels from Dacia and Lower Moesia subjected to technological analyses (p. 81–90); 3. Glasses from Sântana de Mureș-Černjachov and the Carpi cultures subjected to technological analyses (p. 91–100); Appendix 1 Catalogue of physico-chemically analyzed glass finds (p. 103–120); Appendix 2 Results of physico-chemical analyses of the glass finds (p. 121–130);

<sup>7</sup> Mănucu-Adameșteanu, Gh., Poll, I., *Bracelets en verre découverts en Roumanie*, in de Boe, G., Verhaeghe, F. (eds.), *Material Culture in Medieval Europe. Papers of the 'Medieval Europe Brugge 1997' Conference*, vol.7, Instituut voor het Archeologisch Patrimonium, Zellik/Asse, 1997, p. 345–351; Bugoi, R., Poll, I., Mănucu-Adameșteanu, G., Calligaro, T., Pichon, L., Neelmeijer, C., Eder, F., *Ion Beam Analysis studies of ancient glass bracelets discovered in Bucharest*, *Rom Rep Phys* 63, 2011, 4, p. 912–922; Bugoi, R., Poll, I., Mănucu-Adameșteanu, Gh., Neelmeijer, C., Eder, F., *Investigations of Byzantine glass bracelets from Nufaru, Romania using external PIXE-PIGE methods*, *J.Arch.Sci.* 40, 2013, p. 2881–2891; Olczak, J., *Produkcja szkła w rzymskim i wczesnobizantyjskim Nowae w świetle źródeł archeologicznych* (Mezja Dolna), Toruń, 1998.

Polish summary (p. 131–142); Bibliography (p. 143–151).

The source material for the studies presented in Chapter 1 consists of assemblages of glass production (such as furnaces, “foam” and production waste, fragments of finishing products and remains from forming the products), for the most part unpublished. A Catalogue of all the glass production waste and finished products discussed in Chapters 1–3 appears in Appendix 1. The results of the physico-chemical analyses are presented in Appendix 2.

The study was conducted as a part of the research program of the Institute of Archaeology and Ethnology of the Polish Academy of Sciences in Warsaw. It was made possible through Institute of Archaeology and Ethnology of the Polish Academy of Sciences travel grants. Specialist examination of glasses was completed in the Bio- and Archaeometry Laboratory of the Institute of Archaeology and Ethnology in Warsaw.

The present study focuses on technological characteristics of glass finds from the production assemblages from Dacia and Lower Moesia. It reveals not only the influences of different glass-making traditions, but also the potential links between particular workshops from the investigated area. The author is also trying to identify the differences between techniques used during the Roman domination and those from the later period. Unfortunately, the study was limited both by the small number of discovered and published materials and by the technological examinations that have been carried out (p. 9–10).

In the introductory part of chapter 1, the author presents the geographical space and the chronological framework of the study on one hand, and the used research methods and procedures on the other. After presenting the area and the timeline of the study, together with a brief historical outline, the author does not discuss the state of research on glass-making in Dacia and Lower Moesia, making instead a brief summary about the local production centers and presumed locally made glassware from different sites. According to the author, production assemblages and local glass products from Lower Moesia are better known than those from Dacia, although there are important differences between the western Lower Moesia (Novae, Iatrus, Nicopolis ad Istrum and Oescus) and eastern Lower Moesia, with the Greek cities

from the Black Sea coast and some Roman cities (Histria, Tomis, Tropaeum Traiani, Odessos, etc.) included. A considerable part of the finds was examined by the author, during two research visits in Romania and more than 80 samples of glasses, mostly unpublished, were provided for chemical analyses. Glass fragments have been examined by means of the spectral emission method combined with the flame photometry (p. 14–16). The author also presents some controversial research issues concerning glass chemical composition analyses and glass-making organization (p. 16–18).

After the introduction, the author presents the archaeological information at its disposal according to geographical criteria. For every Roman province, the archaeological sites are listed and the issues discussed for each of them are workshop remains and technological characteristics of the production remains. There is also a short conclusion for every site and a conclusion for each province (p. 19–78). The analyzed sites are: Sarmizegetusa Regia, Colonia Ulpia Traiana Augusta Dacica Sarmizegetusa, Tibiscum, Apulum, Dierna from Dacia Superior; Porolissum and other centres from Dacia Porolissensis; Romula and Sucidava from Dacia Inferior; Novae, Oescus, Iatrus and Nicopolis ad Istrum, Golemanovo Kale, Tomis, Ibida, Histria, Odessos from Moesia Inferior. For every province, there were also introduced into discussion for comparative purposes glass objects from contexts other than production assemblages (such as archaeological levels during systematic excavations), but suspected to have been produced at the same archaeological site. The chemical composition for 78 samples (their descriptions is presented in appendix 1 - catalogue nos. 1–78) coming from some of this sites is presented in Appendix 2 (nos. 1–78).

According to the author, the small number of researched glass workshops influenced negatively the description of glass production in Dacia and Lower Moesia. Because of the reduced quantity of glass artefacts coming from archaeological excavations the analyses in technological terms are still reduced. Nevertheless, in general, one can say that both provinces had self-sufficient workshops capable of running the full production cycle. Initially, glass production was organized in small military *officinae*, like in other Roman provinces, but

there is still not enough evidence for this. Some workshops are attested in the Greek cities: Tomis, Histria and Odessos. In Dacia, only few production centers were discovered: Sarmizegetusa Regia, Ulpia Traiana, Tibiscum and Dierna, and other two possible at Apulum and Porolissum. With relatively few glass objects having been examined technologically, it is difficult to establish the range of locally made products. Even so, a comparison with Lower Moesia reveals that, in the latter province, a much larger assortment of glass objects was found. According to the technological studies, two categories can be distinguished: high-magnesium (HMG) and low-magnesium (LMG) glasses, meaning they were produced with different calcium magnesium raw materials, and discolored with manganese exclusively. Because of the state of the research, there is no possibility to estimate the range of local glass production. Still, one should assume extensive imports, including the luxurious products.

In Chapter 2, some Roman luxury glass vessels from Dacia and Lower Moesia are discussed. Some of them have been previously determined as imported glass vessels by the archaeologists. They are coming from Apulum, Napoca, Micia, Porolissum, Fântânele and Tropaeum Traiani. Most of them are unpublished. They belong to the cold cut types E 185, E 216 and related ones, probably used for drinking wine. All these colorless glasses were produced with the use of high technology, in which discoloration was performed with the use of antimony and high-alkaline RN: 2.8–3.5. The technology in which they were made and their chemical composition indicate that they were produced in specialized workshops following the

Mesopotamian-Egyptian and east Syrian glass-making tradition.

In Chapter 4, the author presents some glass artifacts coming from Sântana de Mureș-Černjachov and the Carpi cultures. They were discovered in Mogoșani, Pietroasele, Poiana Dulcești and Târgșoru Vechi (appendix 1 - catalogue no. 79–87, and appendix 2, for chemical analyses, no. 79–87). The typical forms are cold decorated beakers type E 230 and E 237–238. The discussed group of glass objects was undoubtedly made in the Early Byzantine workshops; it is difficult to point out exactly where, but definitely not in the *Barbaricum*. Maybe in some eastern workshops, because they do not present any of the typical features of the western products.

At the end of this short presentation, there are some observations to make. The chemical composition of glass objects was not analyzed on a wider scale. The adopted chronology of finds was based entirely on determinations made for particular sites by other researchers; not all the material is precisely dated. Methods of comparative analysis applied by the author can be described as chemical-technological, developed mainly by researchers from Central and Eastern Europe, in contrast with the statistical methods frequently applied by Western scholars. Even so, it is our opinion that this study will be a good working instrument for the archaeologists.

Adriana Panaite  
Institutul de Arheologie „Vasile Pârvan”,  
București;  
e-mail: panaite\_adriana92@yahoo.com.



## ABREVIERI

AARMSI – Analele Academiei Române. Memoriile Secțiunii Istorice, București  
Academica – Academica, Academia Română, București  
ActaMN – Acta Musei Napocensis, Cluj-Napoca  
ActaMP – Acta Musei Porolissensis, Zalău  
Acta Siculica – Acta Siculica. A Székely Nemzeti Múzeum  
AÉ – L'Année Épigraphique, Paris  
Aegeum – Annales d'Archéologie égéenne de l'Université de Liège  
AEM – Archäologisch-Epigraphische Mitteilungen aus Österreich-Ungarn, Viena  
AIHV – Association Internationale pour l'Histoire du Verre  
AIIA Iași – Anuarul Institutului de Istorie și Arheologie, Iași  
AIIN – Anuarul Institutului de Istorie Națională, Sibiu  
AISC – Anuarul Institutului de Studii Clasice, Cluj  
AJA – American Journal of Archaeology, Boston  
AmerAnt – American Antiquity, Washington, D.C.  
AmerAnthropol – American Anthropology, Washington, D.C.  
Angustia – Angustia, Arheologie – Etnografie, Sf. Gheorghe  
ANRW – H. Temporini, W. Haase (eds.), Aufstieg und Niedergang der Römischen Welt, Geschichte und Kultur Roms im Spiegel der neueren Forschungen, Berlin – New York, 1970–  
AnȘUIași – Analele Științifice ale Universității „Al.I. Cuza”, Iași  
AO – Arhivele Olteniei, Craiova  
Apulum – Acta Musei Apulensis, series Archaeologica et Anthropologica, Alba Iulia  
ArchBulg – Archaeologia Bulgarica, Sofia  
ArchÉrt – Archaeologiai Értesítő, Budapesta  
ArchKözl – Archaeologiai Közlemények, Budapest/Budapesta  
ArchWarszawa – Archeologia. Rocznik IHKM, Varșovia  
Argesis – Argesis, Muzeul Județean Argeș  
BAR – British Archaeological Reports, Oxford  
BAR IntSer – British Archaeological Reports. International Series, Oxford  
BCMI - Buletinul Comisiunii Monumentelor Istorice, București  
BÉ – Bulletin Épigraphique, Paris  
BerRGK – Berichte der Römisch-Germanischen Kommission des Deutschen Archaologischen Instituts, Frankfurt am Main  
BMA – Bibliotheca Memoriae Antiquitatis, Piatra Neamț  
BMI – Buletinul Monumentelor Istorice, București  
BMJT – Buletinul Muzeului Județean Teleorman, Alexandria  
Brukenthal. Acta Musei – Brukenthal Acta Musei, Muzeul Național Brukenthal, Sibiu  
BSNR – Buletinul Societății Numismatice Române, București  
Bucureștii – Bucureștii. Revista Muzeului Municipiului București, București  
CA – Cercetări Arheologice, Muzeul Național de Istorie a României, București  
CAJ – Cambridge Archaeological Journal, Cambridge  
Carpica – Carpica, Complexul muzeal „Iulian Antonescu”, Bacău

SCIVA, tomul 66, nr. 3–4, București, 2015, p. 391–393

- CCA – Cronica Cercetărilor Arheologice din România, București
- CEpR – C.C. Petolescu, *Cronica Epigrafică a României*, SCIVA, București
- CGLBI – E. Schallmayer, K. Eibl, J. Ott, G. Preuss, E. Wittkopf, *Der römische Weihebezirk von Osterburken I: Corpus der griechischen und lateinischen Beneficiärer-Inschriften des Römischen Reiches*, Stuttgart, 1990
- Chiron – Mitteilungen der Kommission für Alte Geschichte und Epigraphik des Deutschen Archäologischen Instituts, München
- CIL – *Corpus Inscriptionum Latinarum*, Berlin, 1863–
- CIMRM – J.M. Vermaseren, *Corpus Inscriptionum et Monumentorum Religionis Mithriacae*, 2 vol. 1956–1960
- Classica et Christiana – Classica et Christiana, Centrul de Studii Clasice și Creștine al Facultății de Istorie a Universității „Al.I. Cuza”, Iași
- Cota Zero – Cota Zero. Revista d'arqueologia i ciència. Universitat Central de Catalunya
- Dacia – Dacia. Recherches et Découvertes Archéologiques en Roumanie, București; serie nouă N.S. (nouvelle série); *Revue d'Archéologie et d'Histoire Ancienne*, București
- DID, I – Din istoria Dobrogei*, vol. I, D.M. Pippidi, D. Berciu, *Geți și greci la Dunărea de Jos din cele mai vechi timpuri până la cucerirea romană*, București, 1965
- Documenta Praehistorica – Documenta Praehistorica, University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Arts, Department of Archaeology
- Drobeta – Drobeta, Muzeul Regiunii Porților de Fier, Drobeta–Turnu Severin
- EphemNap – *Ephemeris Napocensis*, Cluj–Napoca
- ErdMúz – Erdélyi Múzeum, Cluj
- Estonian Journal of Archaeology - Estonian Journal of Archaeology, Tallin
- Germania – Germania. Anzeiger der Römisch-Germanischen Kommission des Deutschen Archäologischen Instituts, Berlin
- Histria Antiqua – Histria Antiqua, Institut društvenih znanosti IVO PILAR, Zagreb
- IDR – *Inscripțiile Daciei Romane*, București
- IDRE – Constantin C. Petolescu, *Inscriptions externes concernant l'histoire de la Dacie I–II*, București, 1996–2000
- IGLR – Emilian Popescu, *Inscripțiile grecești și latine din secolele IV–XIII descoperite în România*, București, 1976
- ILB – B. Gerov, *Inscriptiones Latinae in Bulgaria Repertae*, Sofia, 1989
- ILD – C.C. Petolescu, *Inscripții latine din Dacia*, București, 2005
- ILS – H. Dessau, *Inscriptiones Latinae Selectae I–III*, Berlin, 1892–1916
- INHA – Institut National d'Histoire de l'Art, Paris
- ISM – *Inscripțiile din Scythia Minor*, București
- Izvestija Varna – Izvestija na Narodnija Muzej Varna, Varna
- J Anthropol Archaeol – Journal of Anthropological Archaeology
- J Archaeol Method Th – Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory
- J.Archaeol.Sci – Journal of Archaeological Sciences, London – New York
- JÖAI – Jahreshefte des Österreichischen Archäologischen Instituts, Viena
- Libri – Libri. International Journal of Libraries and Information Services
- Marisia – Marisia. Muzeul Județean Mureș
- MCA (Materiale) – Materiale și Cercetări Arheologice, București
- MN – Muzeul Național, București
- Mousaios – Mousaios. Muzeul Județean Buzău, Buzău
- Muzeul Oltului – Muzeul Oltului, Muzeul Județean Olt, Slatina
- Pontica – Pontica. Studii și materiale de istorie, arheologie și muzeografie, Muzeul de Istorie Națională și Arheologie, Constanța
- PPS – Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society, Cambridge
- PZ – *Prähistorische Zeitschrift*, Leipzig – Berlin
- RÉ – *Revue Épigraphique*

- Revista Bistriței – Revista Bistriței, Bistrița  
RFR – Revista Fundațiilor Regale, București  
RIU – *Römische Inschriften Ungarns*, Budapest  
RMD – M.M. Roxan, *Roman Military Diplomas*, Londra, 1978, 1985  
Rom Rep Phys – Romanian Reports in Physics, București  
RPAN – Revista de Preistorie și Antichități Naționale, București  
SAA – *Studia Antiqua et Archaeologica*, Iași  
Sargetia – Sargetia, Acta Musei regionalis Devensis, Deva  
SCIV(A) – Studii și Cercetări de Istorie Veche și Arheologie, București  
SCN – Studii și Cercetări Numismatice, București  
SEG – *Supplementum Epigraphicum Graecum*, Leiden, 1923–  
SP – Studii de Preistorie, București  
Starinar – Starinar, Belgrad  
StCl – Studii Clasice, București  
StCȘCluj – Studii și Cercetări Științifice, Cluj  
StUnivCluj – *Studia Universitatis Babeș-Bolyai*, Cluj  
Suceava – Suceava. Anuarul Muzeului Bucovinei, Suceava  
Tibiscum – Tibiscum. Acta Musei Carasebesiensis. Muzeul Județean de Etnografie și al Regimentului de graniță Caransebeș  
Tyche – Tyche. Beiträge zur Alten Geschichte, Papyrologie und Epigraphik, Viena  
Ziridava – Ziridava. *Studia Archaeologica*, Arad  
ZPE – Zeitschrift für Papyrologie und Epigraphik, Bonn

